IQRO JURNALI , IQRO JOURNAL, ИКРО ЖУРНАЛИ
ISSN:2181-4341| wordlyknowledge.uz/index.php/iqro
Volume 23. Issue 02. Sentabr ,2026

THE FUTURE OF ENGLISH LANGUAGE TEACHING IN THE AGE OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE

Sabrinabonu Zoyirova Murodillo qizi
Second-year student of the Uzbekistan State World Languages University
Email: zayirovasabrinka4@gmail.com
https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.22932855
Phone number: +998770307036

Abstract

This article examines the future of English language teaching in the age of artificial intelligence. It considers how conversational chatbots, generative AI, adaptive learning applications, and automated feedback systems are changing the ways learners practice English and receive support. The article discusses possible benefits, including individualized learning pathways, immediate feedback, expanded opportunities for speaking and writing practice, and improved learner autonomy. It also addresses significant concerns: unequal digital access, inaccurate feedback, algorithmic bias, academic integrity, privacy, and over-dependence on automated assistance. The central argument is that artificial intelligence should not replace English teachers. Instead, it should be used as a supportive tool that allows teachers to devote more attention to communication, critical thinking, creativity, cultural understanding, and individual learner needs.

Keywords: artificial intelligence; English language teaching; generative AI; chatbots; adaptive learning; automated feedback; teacher development; learner autonomy; digital literacy; educational equity.

Artificial intelligence is increasingly influencing education, and English language teaching is one of the fields most affected by this development. Learners can now practice conversations with chatbots, receive instant comments on their writing, improve pronunciation with speech-recognition software, and use adaptive applications that adjust activities to their performance. These changes have created new opportunities for both teachers and students. They have also raised important questions about the future purpose of the classroom and the changing role of the teacher.

The discussion about AI in education is often polarized. Some people believe that AI will make learning faster, more accessible, and more personalized. Others worry that learners will become dependent on technology, submit work they did not create themselves, or lose opportunities for meaningful human communication. A balanced perspective is necessary. AI has considerable potential, but its educational value depends on how it is used, who has access to it, and whether teachers remain at the center of pedagogical decision-making.

AI Tools in ELT(English Language Teaching)

Artificial intelligence in English language teaching includes a wide range of tools. Conversational chatbots can simulate dialogue and give learners opportunities to practice everyday conversations. Generative AI systems can explain grammar, suggest vocabulary, help learners plan writing, create reading texts, and respond to questions. Adaptive platforms analyze learner performance and recommend exercises at an appropriate level. Automated writing systems identify possible errors in grammar, spelling, punctuation, word choice, and style. Speech-recognition applications may provide feedback on pronunciation and fluency.

These tools are attractive because they are available at almost any time. A learner who is shy in class may feel more comfortable practicing with a chatbot before speaking with

classmates. A student who needs extra grammar support can receive immediate explanations instead of waiting for the next lesson. For teachers, AI can reduce time spent on repetitive feedback and provide useful information about patterns in learners' performance. However, the availability of technology alone does not guarantee learning. Tools need to be connected to clear objectives, appropriate activities, and teacher guidance.

Personalized Learning

One of the strongest promises of AI is personalization. In a traditional classroom, a teacher may work with students who have different levels of vocabulary, confidence, learning speed, and prior knowledge. It is difficult to give every learner individual attention during every lesson. AI systems can help by adapting activities according to performance. If a learner repeatedly makes errors with a particular grammatical structure, the system may provide more practice. If another learner completes tasks easily, the system may offer more advanced materials.

Personalized learning can support independence. Learners can set goals, monitor progress, and choose topics that interest them. For example, a student interested in business may practice English through business-related dialogues, while another learner may prefer travel, science, music, or sport. Yet personalization should not become isolation. Language is fundamentally social. Students still need to negotiate meaning, listen to different opinions, cooperate with others, and use English in unpredictable situations. AI can provide individual practice, but it cannot fully replace a classroom community.

Speaking and Listening

AI has particular potential for speaking and listening practice. Conversational agents can ask questions, respond to learner answers, and maintain a dialogue on many topics. This can help learners practice more often, especially when they do not have access to fluent speakers outside the classroom. Speech-recognition technology can also help students notice pronunciation difficulties, such as unclear sounds, stress patterns, or slow delivery.

However, AI conversation differs from human conversation. Human communication includes emotion, body language, humor, misunderstanding, interruption, politeness, and cultural knowledge. A chatbot can simulate some of these elements, but it does not experience them. Therefore, AI speaking practice should be used as preparation for real interaction, not as its replacement. Teachers can combine AI-based rehearsal with pair work, group discussions, role-plays, debates, and presentations. This combination gives learners both confidence and experience with genuine communication.

Writing and Feedback

Writing is another area where AI is likely to have a major influence. Automated systems can identify surface-level errors quickly and provide suggestions for revision. Generative AI can support brainstorming, outlining, paraphrasing, and the exploration of different ways to express an idea. For many learners, immediate feedback can make revision more active and less frustrating.

Nevertheless, students need to understand that AI feedback is not always correct. A system may misunderstand the intended meaning, recommend an unnatural phrase, or overlook a problem with logic and organization. More importantly, AI cannot take responsibility for a learner's ideas. Students should remain the authors of their own work. Teachers can encourage ethical use by asking learners to keep drafts, explain which AI suggestions they accepted or rejected, and reflect on why they made those decisions. In this way, AI can become a revision partner rather than a replacement writer.

Changing Teacher Roles

The future of ELT does not require fewer teachers; it requires teachers with additional skills. As AI takes over some routine tasks, teachers may spend less time checking simple grammar errors and more time designing learning experiences. They will increasingly act as learning

designers, facilitators, mentors, and critical guides. They will help students select reliable tools, evaluate AI-generated information, and use technology responsibly.

Teachers also provide elements that AI cannot reproduce. They understand classroom relationships, recognize learners' emotional needs, mediate cultural misunderstandings, and respond flexibly to unexpected situations. A teacher can notice when a student is silent because of anxiety, not lack of knowledge. A teacher can encourage cooperation, build trust, and create meaningful discussion around complex topics. These human dimensions remain essential to language education.

Risks and Ethical Issues

The use of AI in ELT brings serious ethical concerns. The first is digital inequality. Not all learners have high-quality internet, personal computers, paid software, or quiet places to study. If schools assume that every student has equal access to AI tools, technology may increase existing inequalities. Institutions should provide alternatives, support digital access, and avoid designing courses that exclude learners with limited resources.

Another concern is bias. AI systems learn from large collections of texts and data that may contain cultural stereotypes and preferences for dominant forms of English. An automated system may incorrectly judge a non-native accent or treat a legitimate regional expression as an error. Teachers and learners should therefore treat AI feedback critically rather than accepting it automatically.

Academic integrity is also important. Generative AI can produce essays, summaries, and answers quickly. Students may be tempted to submit AI-generated work as their own. Instead of relying only on prohibition, educators should teach transparent and ethical use. Assessment can focus more on drafts, oral explanations, classroom writing, reflections, and the learning process. Students should be able to explain their choices and demonstrate ownership of their ideas.

AI Literacy for Learners

Future learners will need AI literacy in addition to traditional language skills. AI literacy means understanding what AI can and cannot do, knowing how to write useful prompts, checking information for accuracy, recognizing possible bias, and protecting personal data. It also means understanding that a confident AI response is not always a correct response.

In ELT classrooms, AI literacy can become part of language learning. Students can compare a human-written paragraph with an AI-generated paragraph, identify strengths and weaknesses, correct factual errors, or discuss how tone changes when a prompt is rewritten. Such tasks develop English, critical thinking, and responsible digital citizenship at the same time. The goal is not simply to teach students how to use AI, but to teach them how to question it.

Practical Principles

Effective AI integration should follow several principles. First, technology should serve learning goals rather than determine them. Teachers should start by deciding what learners need to achieve, then select tools that genuinely support those aims. Second, AI activities should be balanced with human interaction. Individual practice with an app can be valuable, but it should lead to communication with classmates and teachers.

Third, learners should be encouraged to reflect on AI feedback instead of accepting every suggestion. Questions such as "Do you agree with this correction?" and "Why is this phrase more appropriate?" promote deeper learning. Fourth, schools should establish clear guidelines about privacy, acceptable AI use, authorship, and assessment. Finally, teachers need continuing professional development so that they can understand new tools, evaluate their quality, and adapt them to their learners' realities.

Future Directions

The next stage of AI in ELT may include more advanced multimodal systems that combine text, voice, images, and video. Virtual or augmented reality may allow learners to practice

English in simulated situations such as airports, workplaces, universities, shops, or international meetings. Emotion-sensitive systems may attempt to recognize frustration or confidence and adjust support accordingly. Learning analytics may provide teachers with detailed information about learners' strengths and difficulties.

These possibilities are exciting, but they should be approached carefully. More data does not automatically create better teaching, and more realistic simulations do not automatically develop intercultural understanding. The future must be guided by evidence, educational values, and attention to learners' well-being. The most successful classrooms will probably be those that use AI intelligently without allowing technology to dominate human relationships or educational goals.

Conclusion

Artificial intelligence will continue to shape the future of English language teaching. It can make learning more personalized, provide immediate feedback, increase opportunities for independent practice, and support teachers with routine tasks. It can also help learners develop confidence when they need extra time or low-pressure practice.

At the same time, AI cannot replace the human core of language education. Learning a language involves identity, culture, empathy, collaboration, and the ability to communicate with real people. Teachers remain essential as mentors, facilitators, ethical guides, and designers of meaningful learning. The future of ELT should therefore be based on partnership: AI should support teachers and learners, while human judgment, care, and communication remain at the center of the educational process.

References

1. Chen, X., Zou, D., & Xie, H. (2025). A systematic review and meta-analysis of AI-enabled language assessment tools. Journal of Computer Assisted Learning.
2. Huang, W., Hew, K. F., & Fryer, L. K. (2025). Effectiveness of chatbots in improving language learning: A meta-analysis. International Journal of Applied Linguistics.
3. Kim, Y., et al. (2023). Artificial intelligence in language instruction: Impact on English learning achievement, L2 motivation, and self-regulated learning. Educational Technology Research.
4. Kohnke, D. (2025). The effectiveness of artificial intelligence in English instruction for speaking and listening skills: A meta-analysis. ERIC.
5. Ouyang, F., & Jiao, P. (2026). Artificial intelligence in language learning: A twenty-year scoping review (2005–2024). Language Learning & Technology.
6. Satar, H. M., & Akcan, R. (2025). Enabling learner independence and self-regulation in language learning through AI: A systematic review. Cogent Education.
7. Xu, W., et al. (2025). A systematic review on the effectiveness of AI in ESL learning. ERIC.