IQRO JURNALI , IQRO JOURNAL, ИКРО ЖУРНАЛИ
ISSN:2181-4341| wordlyknowledge.uz/index.php/iqro
**Volume 20 Issue 01 April 2026**

# THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN MOTIVATION AND ACADEMIC GRADES

**Sobirova Odina**

Student at University of Business and Science

**Abdukhamidova Khilola Dilshod kizi**

Teacher at University of Business and Science

**Abstract**

Academic performance is widely regarded as a fundamental indicator of student success and is commonly measured through grades. However, grades alone do not fully capture the underlying processes that lead to academic achievement. One of the most influential factors shaping academic outcomes is motivation. This paper explores the complex and multidimensional relationship between motivation and academic grades by examining key psychological theories, empirical studies, and practical educational strategies. Special attention is given to intrinsic and extrinsic motivation, self-efficacy, and self-determination theory. The findings suggest that while both types of motivation contribute to academic success, intrinsic motivation plays a more sustainable and impactful role in promoting deep learning, persistence, and higher academic achievement. The paper concludes by emphasizing the importance of fostering motivationally supportive learning environments.

**Keywords:** motivation, intrinsic motivation, extrinsic motivation, academic performance, self-efficacy, self-determination theory, student engagement

## Introduction

Academic performance has long been a central concern in educational systems worldwide, often serving as a benchmark for evaluating both student achievement and institutional effectiveness. Traditionally, grades have been used as the primary measure of academic success. However, contemporary research in educational psychology suggests that academic outcomes are influenced by a range of internal and external factors, among which motivation stands out as one of the most critical.

Motivation determines not only the level of effort students invest in their studies but also the strategies they employ, their persistence in the face of challenges, and their overall engagement with learning. Understanding how motivation interacts with academic performance is essential for educators seeking to improve student outcomes and for students aiming to maximize their potential.

## Understanding Motivation in Education

Motivation in education can be defined as the internal and external forces that initiate, guide, and sustain learning behaviors. It is commonly categorized into two primary types: intrinsic and extrinsic motivation.

Intrinsic motivation refers to engaging in an activity for its inherent satisfaction and personal interest. Students who are intrinsically motivated tend to learn because they find the

subject enjoyable or intellectually stimulating. This type of motivation is associated with curiosity, creativity, and a deep approach to learning.

In contrast, extrinsic motivation arises from external rewards or pressures, such as grades, praise, scholarships, or parental expectations (Deci & Ryan, 1985). While extrinsic motivation can be effective in prompting short-term engagement, it may not always lead to meaningful or long-lasting learning.

Research indicates that intrinsically motivated students are more likely to demonstrate persistence, critical thinking, and a deeper understanding of the material. Ryan and Deci (2000) emphasize that intrinsic motivation is closely linked to higher-quality learning outcomes and greater psychological well-being.

**The Link Between Motivation and Academic Performance**

A substantial body of research has established a strong relationship between motivation and academic achievement. For example, Robbins et al. (2004) conducted a meta-analysis demonstrating that motivational factors are among the strongest predictors of academic success in higher education.

Students with high levels of motivation tend to set clear academic goals, employ effective study strategies, and remain committed even when faced with difficulties. In contrast, low motivation often results in procrastination, disengagement, and poorer academic outcomes.

Bandura's (1997) Social Cognitive Theory provides further insight into this relationship through the concept of self-efficacy. Self-efficacy refers to an individual's belief in their ability to succeed in specific tasks. Students with high self-efficacy are more likely to take on challenging tasks, persist longer, and ultimately achieve better academic results. This demonstrates that motivation is not only about desire but also about confidence in one's abilities.

**Extrinsic Rewards and Their Impact on Motivation**

While extrinsic rewards such as grades can motivate students, their impact is complex and sometimes contradictory. On one hand, grades can serve as clear goals and provide feedback on performance. On the other hand, an excessive focus on grades may shift students' attention away from learning itself and toward performance outcomes.

Deci, Koestner, and Ryan (1999) found that overreliance on extrinsic rewards can reduce intrinsic motivation, particularly when rewards are perceived as controlling rather than supportive. This phenomenon is especially evident in environments where students feel pressured to achieve high grades rather than encouraged to explore and understand the material.

Self-Determination Theory (SDT) offers a framework for understanding how to balance these motivational forces. According to SDT, individuals have three basic psychological needs: autonomy, competence, and relatedness (Ryan & Deci, 2000). When these needs are satisfied, intrinsic motivation is enhanced, leading to better academic outcomes.

For instance, allowing students to make choices in their learning process supports autonomy, providing constructive feedback enhances competence, and fostering positive relationships in the classroom promotes relatedness. Together, these elements create an environment conducive to both motivation and academic success.

**Strategies to Enhance Student Motivation**

Educators play a vital role in shaping students' motivational orientations. Several evidence-based strategies can be employed to enhance motivation and, consequently, academic performance.

First, setting clear and achievable goals helps students maintain focus and track their progress. Goals should be challenging enough to stimulate effort but realistic enough to prevent frustration.

Second, fostering a growth mindset is essential. According to Dweck (2006), students who believe that intelligence and abilities can be developed through effort are more likely to embrace challenges and persist despite setbacks.

Third, providing timely and constructive feedback allows students to understand their strengths and areas for improvement, reinforcing their sense of competence.

Additionally, incorporating collaborative learning activities can increase motivation by promoting social interaction and a sense of belonging. Vygotsky (1978) highlighted the importance of social context in learning, emphasizing that interaction with peers can significantly enhance cognitive development.

Finally, creating engaging and relevant learning experiences helps students connect academic content to real-life situations, increasing both interest and motivation.

**Conclusion**

The relationship between motivation and academic grades is both significant and multifaceted. While extrinsic factors such as grades can influence student behavior, intrinsic motivation plays a more crucial role in fostering deep learning, long-term engagement, and academic excellence.

Educational institutions and instructors must recognize the importance of nurturing motivation by creating supportive learning environments that address students' psychological needs. By promoting autonomy, competence, and relatedness, educators can enhance both motivation and academic performance.

Future research should continue to explore innovative strategies for sustaining student motivation in diverse educational contexts, particularly in the face of evolving technological and societal changes.

**References**

1. Bandura, A. (1997). Self-efficacy: The exercise of control. W.H. Freeman.

2. Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (1985). Intrinsic motivation and self-determination in human behavior. Plenum Press.

3. Deci, E. L., Koestner, R., & Ryan, R. M. (1999). A meta-analytic review of experiments examining the effects of extrinsic rewards on intrinsic motivation. Psychological Bulletin, 125(3), 627–668.

4. Dweck, C. S. (2006). Mindset: The new psychology of success. Random House.

5. Robbins, S. B., Lauver, K., Le, H., Davis, D., & Langley, R. (2004). Do psychosocial and study skill factors predict college outcomes? A meta-analysis. Psychological Bulletin, 130(2), 261–288.

6. Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2000). Self-determination theory and the facilitation of intrinsic motivation, social development, and well-being. American Psychologist, 55(1), 68–78.

7. Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes. Harvard University Press.