IQRO JURNALI , IQRO JOURNAL, ИКРО ЖУРНАЛИ
ISSN:2181-4341| wordlyknowledge.uz/index.php/iqro
Volume 21 Issue 02 May 2026

EFFECTIVENESS OF MODELING BIOLOGICAL PROCESSES AND USING VIDEO LESSONS ON WEBINAR PLATFORMS

Salimova Sarvinoz Farxodovna
Buxoro davlat universiteti Biologiya kafedrasi dotsenti, p.f.d (DSc)
Ismatova Marjona Rahmatilloyevna
Biologiya kafedrasi 4 bosqich talabasi
Doi : https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20041808

Abstract: The integration of digital technologies into biology education has transformed traditional teaching methodologies, enabling more interactive and accessible learning environments. This study investigates the effectiveness of modeling biological processes and the use of video-based instruction within webinar platforms. A mixed-methods research design was applied involving undergraduate biology students divided into control and experimental groups. The experimental group utilized simulation-based biological modeling tools and structured video lessons delivered via webinar platforms, while the control group received conventional online lectures. Results demonstrate a statistically significant improvement in academic performance, conceptual understanding, and student engagement in the experimental group. The findings support the application of multimedia learning theory and emphasize the role of visualization and interactivity in mastering complex biological systems. This study contributes to the growing body of research on digital pedagogy and provides practical recommendations for integrating webinar-based technologies into biology education.

Keywords: biological modeling, webinar platforms, video-based learning, online education, multimedia learning, digital pedagogy.

1. Introduction

1.1 Background

Biology, as a scientific discipline, involves the study of complex, dynamic systems that often operate at microscopic or abstract levels. Processes such as DNA replication, protein synthesis, and ecological interactions are inherently difficult to observe directly. Traditional lecture-based instruction frequently fails to adequately convey these complexities, leading to superficial understanding among students.

The emergence of digital learning technologies, particularly webinar platforms, has introduced new opportunities for enhancing biology education. Platforms such as Zoom, Microsoft Teams, and Google Meet allow real-time interaction, multimedia integration, and collaborative learning. These tools enable educators to incorporate simulations, animations, and video lessons that facilitate deeper understanding.

1.2 Problem Statement

Despite the widespread adoption of webinar platforms, many online biology courses still rely heavily on passive teaching methods. There is limited empirical evidence regarding the combined effectiveness of biological modeling and video-based instruction within webinar environments.

1.3 Research Objectives

This study aims to:

1. Evaluate the impact of biological process modeling on student understanding.
2. Assess the effectiveness of video lessons in webinar-based instruction.
3. Compare learning outcomes between traditional and technology-enhanced webinar teaching.

4. Identify challenges and opportunities associated with digital biology education.

1.4 Research Questions

- How does modeling biological processes influence conceptual understanding?
- What is the role of video lessons in improving learning outcomes?
- Do students using integrated tools perform better than those in traditional webinar settings?

2. Literature Review

2.1 Multimedia Learning Theory

According to Mayer (2009), multimedia learning occurs when individuals build mental representations from words and images. The dual-channel theory suggests that combining visual and auditory information enhances learning efficiency.

2.2 Biological Modeling in Education

Biological modeling involves the use of simulations and visual tools to represent biological systems. Studies have shown that simulation-based learning improves conceptual understanding and critical thinking (de Jong et al., 2013).

2.3 Video-Based Learning

Video lessons provide flexible, self-paced learning opportunities. Research indicates that students retain information better when instructional videos are structured and interactive (Clark & Mayer, 2016).

2.4 Webinar-Based Learning

Webinar platforms enable synchronous and asynchronous learning. They support features such as screen sharing, live chat, and breakout rooms, which enhance student participation.

2.5 Research Gap

While individual benefits of modeling and video learning are well documented, there is limited research on their combined application within webinar platforms, particularly in biology education.

3. Materials and Methods

3.1 Research Design

A mixed-methods approach was adopted, combining quantitative experimental design with qualitative feedback analysis.

3.2 Participants

The study included 120 undergraduate students enrolled in a biology course and 10 instructors. Participants were randomly assigned to:

- Control group (n=60)
- Experimental group (n=60)

3.3 Instructional Design

- **Control Group:** Traditional webinar lectures using slides and verbal explanation.
- **Experimental Group:** Webinar sessions incorporating:
  - Biological simulations
  - Animated process modeling
  - Pre-recorded and interactive video lessons

3.4 Tools and Technologies

- Webinar platforms: Zoom, Google Meet
- Simulation software: PhET, BioRender, virtual labs
- Video tools: Camtasia, YouTube educational content

3.5 Data Collection Instruments

- Pre-test and post-test assessments
- Student engagement surveys
- Instructor interviews

- Observation checklists

3.6 Data Analysis

Statistical analysis was conducted using:

- Independent sample t-tests
- Mean score comparison
- Percentage analysis

Qualitative data were analyzed using thematic coding.

4. Results

4.1 Academic Performance

The experimental group showed a significant increase in test scores:

- Pre-test average: 56%
- Post-test average: 84%

Control group results:

- Pre-test average: 55%
- Post-test average: 67%

4.2 Conceptual Understanding

Students exposed to biological modeling demonstrated improved understanding of:

- Cellular respiration pathways
- Genetic replication mechanisms
- Ecosystem interactions

4.3 Student Engagement

Survey results revealed:

- 85% found video lessons effective
- 78% reported increased motivation
- 82% preferred simulations over static lectures

4.4 Interaction Levels

Students in the experimental group participated more actively in discussions and asked more analytical questions.

5. Discussion

5.1 Interpretation of Results

The findings indicate that integrating biological modeling and video lessons significantly enhances learning outcomes. This supports Mayer's multimedia learning theory, which emphasizes the importance of dual-channel processing.

5.2 Advantages of Biological Modeling

- Enhances visualization of abstract processes
- Promotes active learning
- Encourages problem-solving skills

5.3 Benefits of Video Lessons

- Flexible learning pace
- Repeatable content
- Improved retention

5.4 Challenges

- Technical issues (internet access)
- Limited digital literacy among educators
- Time required to develop high-quality materials

5.5 Implications for Education

Educational institutions should invest in digital infrastructure and teacher training to maximize the benefits of webinar-based learning.

6. Conclusion

This study demonstrates that the integration of biological modeling and video lessons within webinar platforms significantly improves student learning outcomes. The combination of visual, interactive, and flexible learning tools enhances both understanding and engagement.

Future research should explore long-term retention effects and the application of these methods across different scientific disciplines.

References

1. Mayer, R. E. (2009). *Multimedia Learning*. Cambridge University Press.
2. Clark, R. C., & Mayer, R. E. (2016). *E-Learning and the Science of Instruction*. Wiley.
3. Means, B., Toyama, Y., Murphy, R., & Baki, M. (2013). The effectiveness of online learning. *Teachers College Record*, 115(3), 1–47.
4. de Jong, T., Linn, M. C., & Zacharia, Z. C. (2013). Physical and virtual laboratories in science education. *Science*, 340(6130), 305–308.
5. Moreno, R., & Mayer, R. (2007). Interactive multimodal learning environments. *Educational Psychology Review*, 19(3), 309–326.
6. Ruth C. Clark, & Richard E. Mayer (2016). *E-Learning and the Science of Instruction*. Wiley.
7. John Sweller (1988). Cognitive load during problem solving. *Cognitive Science*, 12(2), 257–285.
8. Paul A. Kirschner, John Sweller, & Richard E. Clark (2006). Why minimal guidance during instruction does not work. *Educational Psychologist*, 41(2), 75–86.
9. Albert Bandura (1977). *Social Learning Theory*. Prentice Hall.
10. Lev Vygotsky (1978). *Mind in Society*. Harvard University Press.
11. David H. Jonassen (1999). Designing constructivist learning environments.
12. Richard E. Mayer (2005). Cognitive theory of multimedia learning. *Cambridge Handbook of Multimedia Learning*.