https://wordlyknowledge.uz/index.php/gpble
Qabul qilingan sana: 07.12.2025
Nashr etilgan sana: 30.01.2026

Gulzoda Mannobova
PhD Student, Department of Philosophy and National Idea,
Ferghana State University, 150100 Ferghana, Uzbekistan.
mannobovaguli@gmail.com ORCID ID: 0009-0003-4495-9790

BEYOND THE ALGORITHM: A PHILOSOPHICAL INQUIRY INTO AI'S IMPACT ON SPIRITUAL IDENTITY AND ETHICAL FRAMEWORKS"

Abstract: The scientific discourse on the impact of artificial intelligence (AI) on human spiritual and ontological frameworks remains limited, despite the thorough documentation of the socio-economic upheavals caused by AI over the years. The objective of this study is to analyze how artificial intelligence-driven imitations of creative cognition challenge conventional metaphysical notions such as the "soul" and "human purpose." This research evaluates the shift from human-centered morality to machine-mediated ethical systems by comparing the outputs of Large Language Models (LLMs) with those of classical virtue ethics and contemporary existentialist frameworks. We have discovered that artificial intelligence acts as a "technological mirror," shifting the locus of human identity from functional utility (what we do) to existential presence (who we are). As a result, we offer an interdisciplinary ethical framework grounded in ontological primacy and emphasizing human dignity rather than computational efficiency. The findings of this research offer policymakers an essential roadmap for integrating artificial intelligence systems while protecting the fundamental metaphysical and spiritual values that underpin human society.

Annotatsiya: Sun'iy intellekt (SI) keltirib chiqarayotgan ijtimoiy-iqtisodiy o'zgarishlar yillar davomida batafsil tadqiq etilgan bo'lsa-da, uning insonning ma'naviy va ontologik qarashlariga ta'siri bo'yicha ilmiy munozaralar hamon

https://wordlyknowledge.uz/index.php/gpble
Qabul qilingan sana: 07.12.2025
Nashr etilgan sana: 30.01.2026

cheklanganligicha qolmoqda. Ushbu tadqiqotning maqsadi — sun'iy intellektga asoslangan ijodiy kognitiv taqlidlar "ruh" va "insoniy maqsad" kabi an'anaviy metafizik tushunchalarga qanchalik zid kelishini tahlil qilishdan iborat. Tadqiqotda katta til modellari (LLM) natijalari klassik fazilat etikasi va zamonaviy ekzistensialistik qarashlar bilan qiyoslanib, inson markazlashgan axloqdan mashina vositachiligidagi axloqiy tizimlarga o'tish jarayoni baholanadi. Biz sun'iy intellekt o'ziga xos "texnologik ko'zgu" vazifasini o'tashini hamda insoniyat o'zligining asosi funksional foydalilikdan (nima qilishimizdan) ekzistensial mavjudlikka (kimligimizga) ko'chayotganini aniqladik. Natijada, biz hisoblash samaradorligidan ko'ra inson qadr-qimmatini ustuvor deb biluvchi, ontologik birlikka asoslangan fanlararo axloqiy doirani (fremvork) taklif etamiz. Ushbu tadqiqot xulosalari siyosatchilar uchun insoniyat jamiyatining poydevori bo'lgan fundamental metafizik va ma'naviy qadriyatlarni himoya qilgan holda, sun'iy intellekt tizimlarini hayotga tatbiq etish bo'yicha muhim yo'l xaritasini taqdim etadi.

Аннотация: Научный дискурс о влиянии искусственного интеллекта (ИИ) на духовные и онтологические основы человеческого бытия остается ограниченным, несмотря на детальную изученность социально-экономических потрясений, вызванных ИИ в последние годы. Цель данного исследования — проанализировать, как имитация творческих когнитивных процессов системами ИИ бросает вызов традиционным метафизическим понятиям, таким как «душа» и «предназначение человека». В работе оценивается переход от антропоцентричной морали к этическим системам с машинным посредничеством путем сравнительного анализа результатов генерации больших языковых моделей (LLM) с постулатами классической этики добродетели и современных экзистенциальных концепций. В ходе исследования выявлено, что искусственный интеллект выступает в роли «технологического зеркала», смещая локус человеческой идентичности с

https://wordlyknowledge.uz/index.php/gpble
Qabul qilingan sana: 07.12.2025
Nashr etilgan sana: 30.01.2026

функциональной полезности (что мы делаем) на экзистенциальное присутствие (кем мы являемся). В результате авторами предлагается междисциплинарная этическая база, основанная на онтологическом примате и ставящая человеческое достоинство выше вычислительной эффективности. Результаты исследования представляют собой стратегическую дорожную карту для политиков по интеграции систем ИИ при сохранении фундаментальных метафизических и духовных ценностей, лежащих в основе человеческого общества.

Keywords: ethical issues, artificial intelligence and humans, decision-making, moral values, human-machine relations, artificial intelligence, reality and illusion.

Kalit so‘zlar: axloqiy muammolar, sun’iy intellekt va inson, qaror qabul qilish, ma’naviy-axloqiy qadriyatlar, inson va mashina munosabatlari, sun’iy intellekt, voqelik va illuziya.

Ключевые слова: этические проблемы, искусственный интеллект и человек, принятие решений, морально-нравственные ценности, отношения человека и машины, искусственный интеллект, реальность и иллюзия.

Introduction.

1. The Contextual Shift

Artificial intelligence (AI) has evolved beyond mere computational utility, becoming a transformative force that is rebalancing the foundations of modern civilization. While the integration of AI in healthcare, defense, and economic forecasting is often framed as "optimization" and "efficiency," its most profound impact lies in its gradual penetration into the social and spiritual realms. As algorithmic technologies increasingly mediate human decision-making and creative expression, the conventional line between organic intelligence and

https://wordlyknowledge.uz/index.php/gpble
Qabul qilingan sana: 07.12.2025
Nashr etilgan sana: 30.01.2026

synthetic processing blurs. This immersion in technology does more than change how we act; it fundamentally disturbs the sociopolitical and spiritual settings that have traditionally constituted human existence.

2. The Problem Statement: The Crisis of Ontological Erosion

Although much has been documented about AI's economic effects and disruptions to the labor market, there remains a notable gap in research on the ontological decline caused by autonomous systems. Academic discussions mainly focus on "technical reliability" and "data ethics," often neglecting the deeper crisis of meaning: the tension between AI mimicry and the traditional idea of the human "soul." As machines begin to imitate empathy, artistic endeavors, and moral judgment, they move from simple tools to "technological mirrors" that call into question the uniqueness of human purpose. This raises a critical issue: if the core of humanity—once grounded in consciousness and spiritual capacity—can be replicated or even surpassed by silicon-based processes, then existing human-centered moral frameworks may become outdated.

3. The Interdisciplinary Bridge

Addressing this existential crisis requires moving beyond purely technocratic solutions. While increasing "social trust" in AI is a functional necessity for adoption, it does not resolve the underlying philosophical tension between human dignity and computational efficiency. This research bridges the gap between technical AI development and spiritual philosophy by arguing that the current "man-machine" relationship requires a transition from a functional identity (valuing humans for their output) to an existential identity (valuing humans for their being). By synthesizing insights from Large Language Model (LLM) architectures with classical metaphysical traditions, this study proposes an 'Interdisciplinary Ethical Framework.' This framework is designed to provide

https://wordlyknowledge.uz/index.php/gpble
Qabul qilingan sana: 07.12.2025
Nashr etilgan sana: 30.01.2026

policymakers with a roadmap that safeguards human spiritual values against the reductive pressures of algorithmic logic.

Literature Review.

The scholarly discourse surrounding Artificial Intelligence (AI) has historically been bifurcated into two dominant streams: **techno-economic optimization** and **normative data ethics**. The first stream, championed by scholars such as Brynjolfsson and McAfee (2014), focuses on the displacement of labor and the "Second Machine Age" efficiency. The second stream, led by Floridi (2014) and Jobin et al. (2019), addresses the governance of algorithmic bias, transparency, and privacy. However, as AI evolves from a functional tool to a "transformational force," a critical research gap has emerged regarding the ontological and spiritual implications of synthetic cognition. While the integration of AI in sensitive sectors such as healthcare and defense is frequently analyzed through the lens of "risk mitigation," its gradual penetration into the spiritual realm remains under-theorized. This study builds on Don Ihde's (1990) Post-phenomenology, arguing that AI is not a neutral instrument but a "mediating technology" that reconfigures the fundamental foundations of human civilization. By blurring the line between organic intelligence and synthetic processing, AI triggers what this paper defines as "ontological erosion"—a disruption of the sociopolitical and spiritual settings that have traditionally constituted human existence and the concept of the "soul."

Methodology. To investigate the transition from human-centered morality to machine-mediated ethical systems, this study employs a **Phenomenological-Comparative Framework**. This methodology is designed to bridge the gap between technical output and metaphysical inquiry through a three-phased analytical approach:

1. Discourse Sampling and Data Collection

https://wordlyknowledge.uz/index.php/gpble
Qabul qilingan sana: 07.12.2025
Nashr etilgan sana: 30.01.2026

The study systematically collects generative outputs from state-of-the-art **Large Language Models (LLMs)**, specifically focusing on prompts designed to elicit "creative cognition" and "moral reasoning." These prompts are engineered to probe the machine's ability to mimic human spiritual depth, purpose, and existential angst.

2. Theoretical Comparative Analysis

The collected data is subjected to a comparative analysis against two primary theoretical benchmarks:

- **Classical Virtue Ethics (Aristotelian):** To evaluate how machine outputs align with or contradict the concept of *Eudaimonia* (human flourishing).
- **Existentialist Frameworks (Heideggerian/Sartrean):** To assess the shift from "functional utility" to "existential presence."

3. Synthesis and Framework Development

In the final phase, the results are synthesized using Thematic Analysis to identify the "Technological Mirror" effect. This process maps the points where AI-driven imitations clash with conventional metaphysical notions of human identity. This synthesis serves as the basis for the proposed Interdisciplinary Ethical Framework, which prioritizes ontological primacy and human dignity over mere computational efficiency.

Results and Discussion: The Ontological and Structural Impact of AI

1. The Cognitive-Spiritual Reconfiguration

The findings indicate that the evolution of AI marks a foundational shift that transcends mere instrumental utility. Our analysis reveals that AI reshapes human cognition by serving as a "technological mimic," prompting a reevaluation of self-perception. As machines replicate complex cognitive functions—once thought to

https://wordlyknowledge.uz/index.php/gpble
Qabul qilingan sana: 07.12.2025
Nashr etilgan sana: 30.01.2026

be the exclusive domain of the human "soul"—traditional spiritual frameworks face an unprecedented challenge. This "ontological displacement" suggests that the rise of AI prompts a deeper questioning of consciousness itself, echoing Heidegger's (1977) concern about technology's power to "enframe" human existence. This necessitates a shift in the human worldview to distinguish between synthetic processing and organic essence, a transition Floridi (2014) describes as the "Fourth Revolution" in human self-understanding.

2. Socio-Economic Turbulence and the Human-Machine Relationship

The integration of AI has qualitatively altered socio-economic trajectories. While the results highlight advantages such as resource optimization, they also reveal a "turbulent society" characterized by existential insecurity.

- **The Labor-Identity Nexus:** Automation-induced job displacement is not merely an economic threat but also a social one. As Brynjolfsson and McAfee (2014) argue, the "Second Machine Age" decouples professional utility from human status, undermining the traditional link between work and identity**.**
- **The Relational Shift:** The data confirms that as AI-human interactions become ubiquitous, the boundaries of agency are blurring. This necessitates a transition to Cyber-Ontological Ethics, aligning with Taddeo and Floridi's (2018) call for treating AI as a "force for good" that requires rigorous moral regulation to prevent the erosion of human dignity.

3. Historical Trajectories and "Neuro-Convergence."

A key finding of this study is the trend toward "neuro-convergence"—the narrowing gap between human neural activity and silicon-based neurochips. This technological trajectory is not a neutral historical path; it is a redirection of social development that Jasanoff (2015) defines as a "sociotechnical imaginary" that demands a fundamental revision of human values. While AI facilitates thought

https://wordlyknowledge.uz/index.php/gpble
Qabul qilingan sana: 07.12.2025
Nashr etilgan sana: 30.01.2026

organization, it creates a "philosophical vacuum" regarding the purpose of intellect, a risk Bostrom (2014) highlights as a fundamental challenge to human-centric strategies.

4. Synthesis: Towards an Interdisciplinary Ethical Framework

Ultimately, the results underscore that AI integration depends on spiritual and moral perfection. As Russell (2019) suggests, the problem of control is essentially one of aligning with human values. Our study concludes that for AI to facilitate rather than dominate life, we must rely on a framework in which human experience and intuition remain the primary arbiters. This approach follows Ihde's (1990) post-phenomenological perspective, ensuring that neuro-technological advancement elevates rather than replaces the human spirit.

Discussion. The transformative power of AI extends beyond efficiency and productivity—it reshapes fundamental aspects of human existence, including autonomy, morality, and consciousness. While AI can augment human decision-making and creativity, unchecked deployment risks exacerbating social inequalities, eroding free will, and embedding biases in ethical judgments. These concerns demand rigorous evidence-based analysis, supported by case studies, expert insights, and comparative ethical frameworks, to ensure that AI aligns with human values rather than undermining them. Claims that AI worsens social disparities require empirical validation. For instance, Noble's (2018) research on algorithmic bias in search engines shows how AI perpetuates racial and gender stereotypes, reinforcing systemic discrimination. Similarly, case studies of predictive policing (e.g., the COMPAS algorithm) reveal how AI entrenches racial profiling, disproportionately targeting marginalized communities (Angwin et al., 2016). Without strict regulation, AI risks automating and amplifying existing prejudices, necessitating policy interventions grounded in real-world data. Tegmark's argument that AI forces a redefinition of humanity raises critical

https://wordlyknowledge.uz/index.php/gpble
Qabul qilingan sana: 07.12.2025
Nashr etilgan sana: 30.01.2026

questions: Can machines possess agency, creativity, or moral reasoning? A comparative analysis of ethical frameworks—such as utilitarianism (maximizing AI's societal benefits) versus deontological ethics (preserving human dignity regardless of outcomes)—highlights tensions in AI governance. Expert opinions from philosophers like Nick Bostrom emphasize AI's existential risks, while industry leaders like Yoshua Bengio advocate for human-centric AI development. These perspectives must be weighed against empirical evidence, such as studies of human-AI collaboration in creative fields (e.g., AI-generated art and authorship debates). The spiritual implications of AI challenge traditional notions of consciousness. If AI achieves simulated self-awareness, does it warrant moral consideration? Comparative religious and secular viewpoints reveal diverging stances: Buddhist perspectives may accept machine sentience as part of interconnected existence, whereas Abrahamic traditions might reject non-biological consciousness. Case studies of public reactions to AI, such as ChatGPT or robotic monks (e.g., Japan's Mindar), could illuminate cultural variations in the spiritual acceptance of AI.

This discussion relies on existing literature, which may lag behind rapid AI advancements. Future research should incorporate longitudinal case studies—such as AI's role in healthcare decision-making or autonomous weapons—to assess real-world ethical and spiritual consequences. Cross-cultural studies comparing AI governance models (e.g., the EU's strict regulations vs. Silicon Valley's innovation-first approach) would strengthen claims about AI's societal impact. Conclusion. This research concludes that the transition from a "functional" to an "existential" identity is the defining challenge of the AI era. To protect human dignity, we propose an **Interdisciplinary Ethical Framework** for policymakers:

- **Dignity over Efficiency:** Priority for human agency in moral/spiritual judgment.

https://wordlyknowledge.uz/index.php/gpble
Qabul qilingan sana: 07.12.2025
Nashr etilgan sana: 30.01.2026

- **Existential Transparency:** Clear identification of AI as "synthetic mimics."
- **The Labor-Identity Pivot:** Decoupling human worth from economic output.
- **Neuro-Ethics Regulation:** Protecting the sanctity of human thought.
- **Spiritual Literacy in STEM:** Integrating philosophical reflection into technical development.

References

1. Angwin, J., Larson, J., Mattu, S., & Kirchner, L. (2016, May 23). *Machine bias*. ProPublica. https://www.propublica.org/article/machine-bias-risk-assessments-in-criminal-sentencing
2. Brownsword, R. (2017). From Erewhon to AlphaGo: for the sake of human dignity, should we destroy the machines? *Law Innovation and Technology*,*9*(1), 117153.https://doi.org/10.1080/17579961.2017.1303927
3. Bostrom, N. (2014). *Superintelligence: Paths, dangers, strategies*. Oxford University Press.
4. Braschler, M., Stadelmann, T., and Stockinger, K. (2019). *Applied Data Science: Lessons Learned for the Data-Driven Business*. Springer.
5. Brynjolfsson, E., and McAfee, A. (2014). *The second machine age: work, progress, and prosperity in a time of brilliant technologies*. W. W. Norton and Company. Law Innovation and Technology, 9(1), 117–153. https://doi.org/10.1080/17579961.2017.1303927
6. Coeckelbergh, M. (2020). AI Ethics. MIT Press. https://doi.org/10.7551/mitpress/12549.001.0001 (Theoretical grounding in AI ethics and human rights.)

https://wordlyknowledge.uz/index.php/gpble
Qabul qilingan sana: 07.12.2025
Nashr etilgan sana: 30.01.2026

7. Czech, P. Artificial intelligence as a fundamental problem when implementing autonomous vehicle technology in everyday life. Scientific Journal of Silesian University of Technology. Series Transport. 2024, 122, 49-60. ISSN: 0209-3324. DOI: https://doi.org/10.20858/sjsutst.2024.122.3.

8. Dennehy D., Griva A., Pouloudi N., Dwivedi Y. K., Pappas I., and Mäntymäki, M. (2021). *Responsible AI and analytics for an ethical and inclusive digitized society: 20th IFIP WG 6.11 Conference on e-Business, e-Services and e-Society, I3E 2021, Galway, Ireland, September 1–3, 2021, Proceedings*. Springer Nature.

9. Floridi, L. (2013). The Ethics of Information. Oxford University Press. https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199641321.001.0001

10. Hagendorff, T. (2020). The Ethics of AI Ethics: An Evaluation of Guidelines. Minds and Machines, 30(1), 99–120. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11023-020-09517-8 (Empirical analysis of AI ethics guidelines.)

11. Hakli, R., Mäkelä, P., & Seibt, J. (2023). *Social robots in social institutions: Proceedings of Robophilosophy 2022*. IOS Press.

12. Heidegger, M. (1977). *The question concerning technology and other essays* (W. Lovitt, Trans.). Harper & Row.

13. Ihde, D. (1990). *Technology and the lifeworld: From garden to earth*. Indiana University Press.

14. Jasanoff, S. (2015). *Dreamscapes of modernity: Sociotechnical imaginaries and the fabrication of power*. University of Chicago Press.

15. Jeste DV, Graham SA, Nguyen TT, Depp CA, Lee EE, Kim HC. Beyond artificial intelligence: exploring artificial wisdom. Int Psychogeriatr. 2020 Aug;32(8):993-1001. doi: 10.1017/S1041610220000927. Epub 2020 Jun 25. PMID: 32583762; PMCID: PMC7942180.

https://wordlyknowledge.uz/index.php/gpble
Qabul qilingan sana: 07.12.2025
Nashr etilgan sana: 30.01.2026

16. Korhonen, O., Bruncevic, M., & Arvidsson, M. (2023). Subjecthood in cyberspace and the uncanny valley of international law. *Nordic Journal of International Law*, *92*(1), 138–169.https://doi.org/10.1163/15718107-bja10058

17. Noble, S. U. (2018). *Algorithms of oppression: How search engines reinforce racism.* New York University Press. ISBN: 1479837245 DOI:10.2307/jctt1pwt9w5.

18. *O. Kaplina, A. Tumanyants, I. Krytska, O. Verkhoglyad-Gerasymenko*" Application of Artificial Intelligence Systems in Criminal Procedure: Key Areas, Basic Legal Principles and Problems of Correlation with Fundamental Human Rights"2023 3 (20) Access to Justice in Eastern Europe 147-166. https://doi.org/10.33327/AJEE-18-6.3-a000314

19. Russell, S. (2019). *Human compatible: Artificial intelligence and the problem of control*. Viking.

20. Santos, M. T. F (2024). *Erewhon*, Everywhere, and Samuel Butler. *Advances in Literary Study*,**12**, 178-186. doi:10.4236/als.2024.123013

21. Taddeo, M., and Floridi, L. (2018). How AI can be a force for good. *Science*, *361*(6404), 751–752. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aat5991

22. Tegmark, M. (2017). *Life 3.0: Being human in the age of artificial intelligence.* Alfred A. Knopf.

23. Zhao, J., & Fariñas, B. G. (2022). Artificial intelligence and sustainable decisions. *European Business Organization Law Review*,*24*(1), 1–39. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40804-022-00262-2