METHODOLOGY FOR CREATING A PSYCHOLOGICAL SAFE ENVIRONMENT IN FOREIGN LANGUAGE TEACHING

Sattorova Ruhsora Bahodir qizi

Student, Renaissance University of Education

E-mail: sattorovaruhsora05@gmail.com

Kenjayeva Saydiniso Usmon qizi

Karimva Nasiba Abdullo qizi

Lecturer in English, Renaissance

E-mail: nasibakarimova98@gmail.com

F-Doi: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20556728

Abstract: This article examines the methodological foundations and practical approaches to establishing a psychologically safe learning environment in foreign language classrooms. It analyzes the role of the educator in mitigating the fear of making mistakes, foreign language anxiety, and communication apprehension during the language acquisition process. Utilizing experimental and comparative research methods, this study explores the correlation between student classroom engagement and overall language proficiency outcomes. The findings demonstrate that in learning environments where psychological safety is systematically maintained, students exhibit significantly higher levels of oral proficiency, conversational fluency, and intrinsic motivation.

Keywords: psychological safety, foreign language anxiety, foreign language methodology, speech barriers, motivation, inclusive environment, interactive methods.

Annotatsiya. Ushbu maqolada chet tili darslarida psixologik xavfsiz muhitni shakllantirishning metodologik asoslari va amaliy usullari tadqiq etilgan. Til o‘rganish jarayonida xatolik qilishdan qo‘rqish, lisoniy xavotir (language anxiety) va nutqiy to‘siqlarni bartaraf etishda o‘qituvchining roli tahlil qilingan. Tadqiqot davomida eksperimental va qiyosiy metodlardan foydalanilgan holda, talabalarning darsdagi faolligi va o‘zlashtirish ko‘rsatkichlari o‘rtasidagi korrelyatsiya o‘rganildi. Natijalar shuni ko‘rsatadiki, psixologik xavfsizlik ta’minlangan auditoriyalarda talabalarning og‘zaki nutq ko‘nikmalari va motivatsiyasi sezilarli darajada yuqori bo‘ladi.

Kalit so‘zlar: psixologik xavfsizlik, lisoniy xavotir, chet tili metodikasi, nutqiy to‘siq, motivatsiya, inklyuziv muhit, interaktiv metodlar.

Аннотация. В данной статье исследуются методологические основы и практические методы создания психологически безопасной образовательной среды на уроках иностранного языка. Анализируется роль преподавателя в преодолении страха совершения ошибок, языковой тревожности (language anxiety) и речевых барьеров в процессе усвоения языка. С использованием экспериментальных и сравнительных методов исследования была изучена корреляция между активностью студентов на занятиях и показателями их успеваемости. Результаты показывают, что в аудиториях, где обеспечена психологическая безопасность, показатели устной речи и мотивация студентов значительно выше.

Ключевые слова: психологическая безопасность, языковая тревожность, методика преподавания иностранного языка, речевой барьер, мотивация, инклюзивная среда, интерактивные методы.

INTRODUCTION

In today's globalized world, mastering a foreign language has become a primary benchmark for professional competitiveness. However, language acquisition is far from a mechanical exercise limited to memorizing grammatical structures or expanding vocabulary. It is a complex psycholinguistic phenomenon deeply intertwined with an individual's emotional state, self-confidence, and social adaptability. For decades, foreign language pedagogy primarily focused on cognitive variables-such as textbooks, curricula, and explicit grammar-translation methods-while shifting affective (emotional) factors to the background. Yet, educational practice consistently reveals that even the most well-designed curriculum yields subpar results if the learner experiences intense anxiety or apprehension. In foreign language classrooms, students frequently experience a paralyzing fear of mispronunciation, linguistic errors, and peer judgment. In literature, this specific emotional block is conceptualized as **"foreign language anxiety"** [1]. A psychologically safe environment is an educational space where learners feel secure enough to express their thoughts freely, and where mistakes are treated not as a cause for penalization or embarrassment, but as a natural, constructive phase of growth and learning [2]. This environment operates on a student-centered, peer-to-peer dynamic. Accordingly, this study aims to develop an effective methodology for cultivating such psychological safety in the classroom, evaluate its empirical impact on student speech production, and provide actionable pedagogical recommendations for educators.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The dynamics of the classroom psychological climate and its impact on academic efficacy have been widely investigated by both international and local scholars. Carl Rogers, a pioneer of humanistic psychology and pedagogy, asserted that genuine empathy and interpersonal warmth between the educator and the learner are fundamental prerequisites for academic success [3]. He argued that a non-threatening, supportive atmosphere is essential for unleashing a student's full intellectual potential. The systematic role of affective variables in language acquisition was fundamentally articulated by Stephen Krashen through his seminal **"Affective Filter Hypothesis"** [4]. Krashen's model posits that:

1. Learners with elevated levels of anxiety, stress, and low self-esteem develop a high "affective filter," which effectively blocks comprehensible language input from reaching the language acquisition device in the brain.
2. Conversely, a psychologically safe environment and high motivation lower this affective filter, facilitating natural, rapid, and authentic language acquisition.

High Anxiety / Fear - High Affective Filter - Language Input BLOCKED

Safe Environment / Trust - Low Affective Filter - Efficient Language Acquisition

Elaine Horwitz and her colleagues isolated classroom apprehension as a distinct phenomenon, developing the Foreign Language Classroom Anxiety Scale (FLACS) [5]. They categorized foreign language anxiety into three distinct components:

1. Communication apprehension: The fear of being unable to adequately convey or conceptualize thoughts in a foreign tongue.
2. Test anxiety: A pervasive apprehension regarding academic underperformance or formal evaluation.
3. Fear of negative evaluation: A strong desire to avoid critical judgment from both teachers and peers.

Within the local context, Uzbek educational researchers like R. Ishmuhamedov and his team have explored the socio-pedagogical dimensions of establishing psychological comfort within interactive learning spaces [6]. However, a dedicated methodology tailored to the unique trials of the foreign language classroom - where students must engage in constant verbal experimentation and expressive output - remains an area requiring rigorous, specialized investigation.

METHODOLOGY

This study employs an experimental framework designed to measure how a systematically maintained, psychologically safe environment affects student oral proficiency and language acquisition. The empirical research was carried out during the 2025–2026 academic year, involving first- and second-

year undergraduate students at the Uzbekistan State University of World Languages and the Tashkent State University of Oriental Studies. A cohort of 120 students participated in the study. Utilizing simple randomization, the participants were split into two distinct groups:

1. Control Group (60 students): Instructed via traditional pedagogical methods characterized by strict academic supervision, immediate error correction, and standard summative assessment models.
2. Experimental Group (60 students): Instructed using a specially formulated "Psychologically Safe Educational Environment Methodology."

Methodological Interventions in the Experimental Group:

1. Delayed Correction Strategy: The instructor refrained from interrupting students mid-speech to correct errors. Instead, linguistic slips were noted and reviewed collectively at the end of the activity on a shared board without singling out individual students.
2. Affective Ice-breakers: The initial 5 to 7 minutes of each session were dedicated to low-stakes, ungraded interactive games and informal discussions designed to lower conversational barriers and elevate group morale.
3. Collaborative Small-Group Work: Rather than being put on the spot in front of the entire audience, students engaged in safe, small-scale peer interactions within pairs or groups of 2 to 4.

Data collection instruments included a contextualized version of Horwitz's FLACS questionnaire, structured classroom observation logs to track verbal participation, and standardized pre- and post-intervention oral proficiency (Speaking) examinations. Quantitative analysis was performed using the Student's independent samples t-test.

RESULTS

The data collected at the end of the academic year revealed stark contrasts between the control and experimental cohorts. The first point of comparison was the level of foreign language anxiety, measured via the FLACS scale on a 1-to-5 metric (where 5 represents maximum anxiety).

Assessment Metrics (FLACS Dimensions)	**Control Group (Pre-Intervention)**	**Control Group (Post-Intervention)**	**Experimental Group (Pre-Intervention)**	**Experimental Group (Post-Intervention)**
Communication Apprehension	3.8	3.6	3.9	**2.1**
Fear of Negative Evaluation	4.1	4.0	4.2	**1.9**
General Classroom Stress	3.9	3.7	3.8	**2.2**

As illustrated by the data, the control group, which remained within a traditional instructional framework, experienced negligible changes in language anxiety (showing a minimal decrease of only 5–7%). Conversely, the experimental group experienced an approximate 50% reduction across all anxiety and stress metrics. A second critical metric evaluated was Student Talking Time (STT) relative to Teacher Talking Time (TTT). In traditional classrooms, up to 70% of class time was dominated by instructor lectures and direct interrogation (TTT). In the experimental group, active STT rose to account for 65% of the total session duration. Furthermore, the final oral proficiency examinations (assessed out

of a 100-point scale evaluating Fluency, Accuracy, and Pronunciation) demonstrated significant progress. The experimental group's average score rose from a baseline of 62.4 to an impressive 84.6 points. Meanwhile, the control group exhibited stagnant growth, moving marginally from 61.8 to 68.2 points ($p < 0.05$).

Discussion

These empirical outcomes substantiate the premise that foreign language teaching efficacy depends heavily on the psychological climate of the classroom, rather than purely on an instructor's linguistic credentials. Lowering foreign language anxiety within the experimental group translated directly into observable gains in conversational fluency. In a conventional classroom setting, one of the most counterproductive pedagogical practices is immediate error correction - interrupting a student mid-sentence to fix a grammatical or phonological error. From a psycholinguistic perspective, this immediate intervention triggers a cognitive defense mechanism, forcing the learner's brain to abandon creative speech generation in favor of self-protection [7]. The delayed correction technique utilized in this study allows the learner to bring their thoughts to a logical conclusion. This shifts the student's perceived identity from that of an "interrogated suspect" to an active "interlocutor." Similarly, implementing structured small-group dynamics breaks down the "audience complex." Students who lock up when speaking before a large audience communicate comfortably when interacting with 2 or 3 peers. This phenomenon aligns perfectly with Lev Vygotsky's "Zone of Proximal Development" (ZPD), demonstrating how peer scaffolding allows learners to internalize complex language skills more rapidly [8]. An unexpected secondary outcome was also observed during the study: the benefits of a psychologically safe classroom extended far beyond the campus walls. Freed from the debilitating fear of making mistakes, students in the experimental group began taking greater ownership of their learning, independently joining foreign language debate clubs, consuming native podcasts, and engaging in online subcultures.

Conclusion and recommendations

Based on the empirical evidence gathered throughout this study, the pedagogical framework for implementing a psychologically safe methodology in foreign language education can be synthesized into the following structural phases:

1.Establishing Emotional Bridges (Ice-breaking): Initial Lesson Phase.

Lessons should begin with low-stakes, ungraded communicative activities focused on student well-being and personal interests, deliberately avoiding rigid, high-pressure knowledge checks at the outset.

2.Reframing the Role of Mistakes: Instructional Phase.

Educators must consciously foster a culture where errors are viewed as vital linguistic data and learning milestones rather than academic offenses. Feedback mechanisms should be shifted to delayed, generalized reviews at the end of activities.

3.De-centering Classroom Authority: Methodological Structuring.

Instruction should pivot away from traditional, teacher-centered frontal teaching styles toward student-driven interactive formats, prioritizing peer collaboration and small-group tasks.

4.Implementing Formative, Process-Oriented Assessment: Evaluative Phase.

Grading policies must evolve to look beyond final linguistic outputs, actively incorporating formative assessments that reward student effort, individual progress, and communicative courage.

Successful foreign language teaching is not merely a matter of delivering syntax rules and textbook vocabulary; it is the art of engineering an classroom architecture anchored in human dignity, mutual respect, and psychological safety. When an educator successfully transitions from a dominant assessor to an inclusive facilitator, the affective barriers to speech dissolve naturally, allowing genuine linguistic competence to flourish.

References

1. Horwitz, E. K., Horwitz, M. B., & Cope, J. (1986). Foreign language classroom anxiety. *The Modern Language Journal*, 70(2), 125-132.

2. Edmondson, A. (1999). Psychological safety and learning behavior in work teams. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 44(2), 350-383.
3. Rogers, C. R. (1969). *Freedom to Learn*. Columbus, Ohio: Charles E. Merrill Publishing Co.
4. Krashen, S. (1982). *Principles and Practice in Second Language Acquisition*. Pergamon Press.
5. MacIntyre, P. D., & Gardner, R. C. (1994). The subtle effects of language anxiety on cognitive processing in the second language. *Language Learning*, 44(2), 283-305.
6. Ishmuhamedov R., Abduqodirov A., Pardaev A. (2008). *Innovative technologies in education (Practical recommendations for educators)*. Tashkent: Iste'dod.
7. Lightbown, P. M., & Spada, N. (2013). *How Languages are Learned* (4th ed.). Oxford University Press.
8. Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in Society: The Development of Higher Psychological Processes*. Harvard University Press.