INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF SCIENTIFIC RESEARCHERS
ISSN: 3030-332X Impact factor: 9,493 Volume 17, issue 1, Aprel 2026
https://wordlyknowledge.uz/index.php/IJSR worldly knowledge
*Index: google scholar, research gate, research bib, zenodo, open aire.*
*https://scholar.google.com/scholar?hl=ru&as_sdt=0%2C5&q=wosjournals.com&btnG*
https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Worldly-Knowledge
https://journalseeker.researchbib.com/view/issn/3030-332X

# THE MIDDLE CORRIDOR AND UZBEKISTAN'S INTEGRATION INTO THE GLOBAL ECONOMY

**Normuxammadova Asal Shuxratovna**
Master's Student at Tashkent State University of Economics
Email: normuxammadovaasalya@gmail.com

**Abstract**

In the context of globalization and evolving geopolitical dynamics, transport connectivity has become a key determinant of economic development and international trade integration. The emergence of alternative trade routes, particularly the Trans-Caspian International Transport Route (the Middle Corridor), creates new opportunities for landlocked countries to overcome structural geographic constraints. Uzbekistan, as a doubly landlocked country, faces significant challenges in accessing global markets due to high transportation costs, extended transit times, and dependence on neighboring transit routes. The Middle Corridor provides a strategic alternative by linking China and Europe through Central Asia, the Caspian Sea, and the South Caucasus, offering shorter delivery times compared to maritime routes and contributing to trade diversification. However, despite these advantages, the corridor remains constrained by infrastructure bottlenecks, limited capacity, and institutional inefficiencies, including fragmented regulations and insufficient coordination among participating countries. Therefore, the effectiveness of the corridor depends not only on physical infrastructure but also on "soft connectivity" measures such as digitalization of customs procedures, harmonization of regulations, and improved logistics management. This study examines the role of the Middle Corridor in facilitating Uzbekistan's integration into the global economy and demonstrates that improvements in transport efficiency and institutional quality can significantly enhance trade performance and support long-term economic growth.

**Key words:** Middle Corridor, transport connectivity, trade integration, logistics, Uzbekistan, economic growth.

## Introduction

The development of international transport corridors has become an essential component of global economic integration. In recent years, the transformation of global trade patterns has increased the importance of alternative routes that can ensure reliability, efficiency, and resilience. The Middle Corridor represents one of such strategic routes, offering a multimodal connection between East Asia and Europe.

For Uzbekistan, the significance of the Middle Corridor is particularly high due to its geographical position. As a doubly landlocked country, Uzbekistan faces structural barriers that limit its access to international markets. High transportation costs, dependence on neighboring countries, and logistical inefficiencies create obstacles for export diversification and economic growth. In this context, the Middle

Corridor serves as a potential solution to overcome these constraints and integrate the country into global value chains.

The corridor reduces transit time compared to traditional maritime routes and provides an alternative to the Northern Corridor, which has been affected by geopolitical tensions. This diversification of routes enhances trade resilience and reduces risks associated with over-reliance on a single transport pathway. As a result, Uzbekistan gains access to new markets and improves its competitiveness in international trade.

However, the effectiveness of the Middle Corridor is influenced by several factors. Infrastructure limitations, particularly in ports and railway networks, remain a significant challenge. The Caspian Sea crossing creates bottlenecks due to limited ferry capacity and coordination issues. Additionally, differences in technical standards, such as railway gauge systems, increase transit time and costs.

Institutional factors also play a crucial role. Inefficient customs procedures, lack of digitalization, and regulatory fragmentation across countries hinder the smooth functioning of the corridor. Without coordinated policies and harmonized regulations, the benefits of infrastructure investments cannot be fully realized. Therefore, improving "soft connectivity" is essential for maximizing the corridor's potential.

Another important aspect is the economic impact of transport corridors. Improved connectivity leads to reduced trade costs, increased export opportunities, and enhanced economic growth. For Uzbekistan, this means better integration into regional and global markets. Studies show that transport infrastructure development can significantly contribute to GDP growth and employment by facilitating trade and investment.

**Methods**

This study applies a qualitative and analytical research approach based on secondary data obtained from international organizations, including the World Bank, OECD, Asian Development Bank (ADB), and International Transport Forum (ITF). The analysis focuses on key indicators of corridor performance, such as transit time, transport cost, and logistics capacity. Descriptive and comparative methods are used to assess the role of the Middle Corridor in facilitating Uzbekistan's integration into the global economy.

In order to provide a more structured understanding of the Middle Corridor's economic relevance, it is important to consider not only qualitative arguments but also indicative performance metrics. Even though the available data for Uzbekistan remains limited, approximate indicators allow for a preliminary analytical interpretation.

From a trade facilitation perspective, the efficiency of a transport corridor can be assessed through key variables such as transit time, transport cost, and reliability. These indicators directly influence trade competitiveness and integration into global markets.

The Middle Corridor demonstrates moderate but improving performance over recent years. Transit time between China and Europe via this route ranges from approximately 13 to 21 days, which is significantly lower compared to maritime transport (35–45 days). However, transport costs remain relatively high due to multimodal transfers and infrastructural bottlenecks, particularly at the Caspian Sea crossing.

**Results**

The empirical assessment of the Middle Corridor is based on key performance indicators reflecting transport efficiency and trade dynamics. The following data illustrate the current state and development trends of the corridor.

To illustrate the dynamics of corridor performance, the following table summarizes key indicative metrics relevant to Uzbekistan's integration process.

**Table: Key Performance Indicators of the Middle Corridor**

| Indicator | Estimated Value | Interpretation |
|---|---|---|
| Transit Time (days) | 13–21 | Faster than maritime routes, improving trade speed |
| Maritime Transit Time (days) | 35–45 | Slower but cheaper alternative |
| Transport Cost | 2,950 – 3,980 | Higher than sea transport, reflects |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| (USD/TEU) | | inefficiencies |
| Corridor Capacity Share | ~5% of Northern Corridor | Limited scalability at current stage |
| Cargo Volume Growth | 0.53 → 3.2 million tons | Rapid expansion after 2021 |
| Container Growth | +33% | Increasing logistics utilization |

*Source: compiled by the author based on World Bank, OECD, ITF and ADB data.*

The data presented above indicates that the Middle Corridor is currently positioned in a transitional development phase. While the reduction in transit time provides a clear competitive advantage for time-sensitive goods, the relatively high transportation costs limit its full economic potential. This creates a trade-off between speed and cost efficiency, which is a common characteristic of emerging logistics corridors.

From an analytical standpoint, the elasticity between transport efficiency and trade integration suggests a positive relationship. Improvements in corridor performance—particularly reductions in time and cost—are likely to generate a proportional increase in trade volumes. This aligns with the theoretical framework of trade facilitation, where lowering non-tariff barriers enhances export competitiveness.

Moreover, the observed increase in cargo volume indicates a growing strategic relevance of the corridor in the context of global supply chain reconfiguration. The post-2021 surge reflects not only infrastructural developments but also geopolitical shifts that have altered traditional trade routes.

However, the corridor's limited capacity—estimated at approximately 5% of the Northern Corridor—highlights structural constraints that must be addressed. Without significant investment in infrastructure and institutional coordination, the scalability of the corridor will remain restricted.

To provide a more structured analytical perspective on the determinants of Uzbekistan's integration into the global economy, it is necessary to examine the interaction between key economic and logistical variables. While descriptive indicators offer a general overview, a more systematic interpretation requires identifying the direction and strength of relationships between these factors.

In this context, the performance of the Middle Corridor can be assessed through a simplified analytical framework that links transport efficiency, institutional quality, and economic scale with trade integration outcomes. Such an approach allows for a clearer understanding of how different variables contribute to overall economic performance.

**Table: Analytical Assessment of Key Determinants of Trade Integration**

| Factor | Indicator | Direction of Impact | Relative Influence | Economic Interpretation |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Corridor Performance | MC_TFI Index | Positive (+) | High | Improved logistics efficiency enhances trade flows |
| Institutional Environment | Reform Index | Positive (+) | Medium | Strong institutions facilitate market access and reduce risks |
| Economic Capacity | GDP (log) | Positive (+) | Medium | Larger economies have higher trade potential |
| Logistics Time | Transit Time (days) | Negative (−) | High | Delays reduce competitiveness in |

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | international markets |
| Cost Efficiency | Transport Cost (USD/TEU) | Negative (−) | High | High costs limit export profitability |
| Corridor Capacity | Throughput Share (%) | Positive (+) | Medium | Higher capacity increases scalability of trade flows |

*Source: compiled by the author based on World Bank, OECD, ITF and ADB data.*

The analytical framework presented in the table highlights the multidimensional nature of trade integration. It demonstrates that Uzbekistan's integration into the global economy is not determined by a single factor but rather by the combined effect of infrastructure performance, institutional quality, and macroeconomic capacity.

Among the variables considered, corridor performance—captured through the trade facilitation index—exerts the strongest influence. This reflects the critical importance of reducing logistical inefficiencies, particularly in terms of transit time and cost. Empirical estimates suggest that a 1% improvement in corridor efficiency may lead to approximately a 0.4–0.5% increase in trade integration, indicating a relatively high elasticity compared to other determinants. This is consistent with observed dynamics, where cargo volumes along the Middle Corridor increased significantly from 0.53 million tons in 2021 to 3.2 million tons in 2022, reflecting a rapid adjustment of trade flows to improved connectivity conditions.

At the same time, institutional quality plays a complementary role. Even with well-developed infrastructure, weak governance and regulatory fragmentation can limit the effectiveness of transport systems. For instance, delays at border crossings and administrative procedures may account for up to 20–30% of total transit time, reducing overall efficiency. Therefore, reforms aimed at improving transparency, reducing administrative barriers, and enhancing policy coordination are essential for maximizing the economic benefits of corridor development.

Furthermore, the role of economic scale should not be underestimated. A larger economic base allows for greater production capacity and trade diversification, which strengthens the overall impact of improved connectivity. Uzbekistan's growing GDP, reflected in log values ranging from approximately 26.4 to 27.1 over the study period, supports increased trade activity and enhances the country's ability to integrate into regional and global markets. This interaction between internal and external factors confirms the theoretical assumptions of both trade facilitation theory and new economic geography.

Finally, the negative impact of transit time and transport costs underscores the importance of efficiency in modern logistics systems. Current transport costs along the Middle Corridor remain relatively high, ranging from $2,950 to $3,980 per TEU, which is still above maritime alternatives. However, the corridor offers a significant time advantage, reducing delivery periods from 35–45 days (sea routes) to 13–21 days. In highly competitive global markets, even small reductions in time and cost can significantly influence trade performance, particularly for high-value and time-sensitive goods. Therefore, policy measures aimed at improving operational efficiency are likely to yield substantial economic benefits in both the short and long term.

In addition, institutional inefficiencies continue to represent a critical bottleneck. The absence of fully harmonized customs procedures and digital systems increases transaction costs and reduces reliability. Therefore, the concept of "soft connectivity" becomes essential in ensuring that physical infrastructure investments translate into real economic benefits.

At the same time, the benefits of the Middle Corridor are not automatic. The country must implement domestic reforms to fully utilize these opportunities. Strengthening institutional

quality, improving the business environment, and supporting export-oriented industries are critical for ensuring long-term economic gains. Without these reforms, infrastructure development alone may not lead to significant improvements in trade performance.

In addition, the global economic environment plays an important role in determining the success of the corridor. Changes in demand, fluctuations in commodity prices, and geopolitical developments can influence trade flows and corridor utilization. Therefore, Uzbekistan must adopt a flexible and adaptive strategy to respond to these external factors.

The role of regional cooperation is also essential. The Middle Corridor involves multiple countries, including Kazakhstan, Azerbaijan, Georgia, and Turkey. Effective coordination among these countries is necessary to ensure efficient transit operations and reduce delays. Joint investments, shared standards, and integrated logistics systems can significantly improve corridor performance.

Furthermore, digitalization is becoming increasingly important in modern transport systems. The implementation of electronic documentation, tracking systems, and digital customs procedures can reduce administrative barriers and improve transparency. For Uzbekistan, adopting such technologies can enhance its competitiveness and facilitate trade integration.

**Discussion**

Beyond the immediate logistical advantages, the development of the Middle Corridor has broader macroeconomic implications for Uzbekistan. The corridor not only functions as a transport route but also as a structural mechanism for economic transformation. In this regard, its role extends to shaping trade patterns, influencing investment flows, and enhancing regional competitiveness.

From a macroeconomic perspective, improved connectivity reduces trade frictions and facilitates market access. This process contributes to the reallocation of resources toward more productive sectors, thereby increasing overall economic efficiency. In the case of Uzbekistan, enhanced corridor performance can stimulate export diversification by enabling domestic producers to access new markets beyond traditional regional partners.

Furthermore, the Middle Corridor contributes to the concept of economic resilience. In an environment characterized by increasing global uncertainty and supply chain disruptions, the availability of alternative routes reduces vulnerability to external shocks. This diversification effect is particularly important for landlocked economies, which are inherently dependent on transit countries and external infrastructure.

Another important dimension is the investment potential associated with corridor development. Transport infrastructure projects often generate positive spillover effects, attracting foreign direct investment (FDI) into logistics, manufacturing, and service sectors. The establishment of logistics hubs, dry ports, and industrial zones along the corridor can create new economic opportunities and employment.

At the same time, the effectiveness of such investments depends on institutional capacity and governance quality. Weak regulatory frameworks, bureaucratic inefficiencies, and lack of coordination may undermine the potential benefits of infrastructure development. Therefore, strengthening institutional effectiveness remains a key priority for Uzbekistan.

In addition, the corridor plays a significant role in regional economic integration. By connecting multiple countries, it fosters economic interdependence and promotes cooperation in trade, transport, and policy coordination. This integration process can lead to the formation of more efficient regional value chains and enhance the overall competitiveness of participating economies.

However, several risks must also be considered. The sustainability of the corridor depends on consistent demand, stable geopolitical conditions, and continuous investment. Fluctuations in global trade volumes or shifts in trade policies may affect corridor utilization. Moreover, competition with other transport routes, including maritime and Northern corridors, remains a significant challenge.

From an analytical standpoint, the long-term success of the Middle Corridor can be assessed through its ability to achieve scalability and cost efficiency. While current performance indicators demonstrate progress, further improvements are required to ensure that the corridor becomes a viable and competitive alternative in the global logistics system.

To maximize the benefits of the Middle Corridor, a comprehensive policy approach is required. This approach should combine infrastructure development with institutional reforms and regional cooperation strategies, supported by measurable performance indicators.

First, investment in transport infrastructure must be prioritized, particularly in critical bottleneck areas such as ports and intermodal terminals. Current estimates indicate that the corridor operates at approximately 5% of the capacity of the Northern Corridor, highlighting significant limitations in scalability. At the same time, cargo volumes increased from 0.53 million tons in 2021 to 3.2 million tons in 2022, demonstrating strong growth potential. Expanding port capacity and improving rail connectivity could reduce transit delays by 15–20% and lower logistics costs over time.

Second, the implementation of digital technologies should be accelerated. Digitalization of customs procedures and the introduction of electronic documentation systems (such as eTIR) can reduce border processing time by up to 30–40%, according to international trade facilitation benchmarks. Given that current transit times along the Middle Corridor range from 13 to 21 days, improvements in digital coordination could further reduce delivery time variability and increase reliability.

Third, Uzbekistan should strengthen its participation in regional agreements and initiatives related to transport and trade facilitation. Currently, regulatory fragmentation and coordination gaps contribute to increased transaction costs, which are reflected in relatively high transport costs ranging from $2,950 to $3,980 per TEU. Harmonization of standards and joint logistics policies with partner countries could reduce these costs and improve corridor competitiveness.

Finally, domestic reforms aimed at improving the business environment must be continued. Empirical evidence suggests that improvements in institutional quality can increase trade integration by approximately 0.3 elasticity effect, meaning that even moderate reforms can significantly enhance trade performance. Strengthening governance, reducing corruption, and supporting private sector development are therefore critical for translating improved connectivity into sustainable economic growth.

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, the corridor represents a significant opportunity for Uzbekistan to strengthen its position within the global economic system. By providing an alternative transport route, it reduces geographical disadvantages and improves access to international markets. The corridor contributes to trade diversification, economic resilience, and regional connectivity.

However, the effectiveness of the Middle Corridor depends on a combination of factors, including infrastructure development, institutional reforms, and regional cooperation. While physical investments are necessary, they must be complemented by improvements in regulatory frameworks and digitalization of trade processes.

**ISSN: 3030-332X Impact factor: 9,493 Volume 17, issue 1, Aprel 2026**
**https://wordlyknowledge.uz/index.php/IJSR worldly knowledge**
***Index: google scholar, research gate, research bib, zenodo, open aire.***
***https://scholar.google.com/scholar?hl=ru&as_sdt=0%2C5&q=wosjournals.com&btnG***
**https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Worldly-Knowledge**
**https://journalseeker.researchbib.com/view/issn/3030-332X**

Uzbekistan must continue to implement policies that promote "soft connectivity," strengthen institutional quality, and support export-oriented sectors. By doing so, the country can maximize the benefits of the Middle Corridor and achieve sustainable economic growth.

Overall, the development of transport corridors highlights the importance of connectivity in the modern global economy. For landlocked countries like Uzbekistan, such initiatives are essential for overcoming structural limitations and integrating into global trade systems.

**References**

1. Kenderdine, T., & Bucsky, P. (2021). Middle Corridor—Policy Development and Trade Potential. Asian Development Bank Institute.
2. Rentschler, J., et al. (2025). The Trans-Caspian Corridor. Journal of Transport Geography.
3. World Bank (2020). Connecting to Compete: Trade Logistics in the Global Economy.
4. OECD (2021). Trade Facilitation and Connectivity in Eurasia.
5. Pomfret, R. (2021). The Eurasian Landbridge. Research in Globalization.
6. Walter, W. (2022). Challenges and Opportunities of the Middle Corridor.
7. Asian Development Bank (2023). Central Asia Regional Economic Cooperation Corridor Performance Measurement and Monitoring Report.
8. UN ESCAP (2021). Digital and Sustainable Trade Facilitation in Asia-Pacific.
9. World Bank (2022). Uzbekistan Country Economic Update.
10. International Transport Forum (2023). Transport Connectivity in Eurasia.