INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF SCIENTIFIC RESEARCHERS
ISSN: 3030-332X Impact factor: 9,493 Volume 17, issue 1, Aprel 2026
https://wordlyknowledge.uz/index.php/IJSR worldly knowledge
Index: google scholar, research gate, research bib, zenodo, open aire.
https://scholar.google.com/scholar?hl=ru&as_sdt=0%2C5&q=wosjournals.com&btnG
https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Worldly-Knowledge
https://journalseeker.researchbib.com/view/issn/3030-332X

LABOUR MIGRATION FROM TAJIKISTAN: REMITTANCES, DEVELOPMENTAL DEPENDENCE, AND SOCIO-ECONOMIC VULNERABILITY

Akbarova Mohinur Farkhod qizi
Master's Student at Tashkent State University of Economics
Email: mohinurakbarova10@gmail.com

Abstract

Labour migration is one of the most important socio-economic phenomena shaping the development trajectory of Tajikistan. At the macroeconomic level, remittances have become a major source of external income, supporting household consumption, domestic demand, and foreign exchange inflows. However, the same dependence also exposes Tajikistan to external shocks, particularly changes in migration policy, labour demand, and social conditions in destination countries. This article analyses labour migration from Tajikistan as a dual phenomenon: a stabilising mechanism for households and the national economy, and a source of structural vulnerability. The study is based on a desk review of official statistics, international organisation reports, and recent analytical literature. The findings show that remittances remain central to Tajikistan's economy, reaching approximately 49% of GDP in 2024, according to the World Bank. At the same time, the number of Tajik labour migrants declined by around 16%, from 978,000 in the first half of 2024 to 825,000 in the first half of 2025, according to the International Organization for Migration. These trends suggest that Tajikistan's migration-based development model is increasingly exposed to external uncertainty. The article concludes that labour migration should not be treated only as a short-term income-support mechanism, but as a structural policy issue requiring stronger domestic employment creation, skills development, migrant protection, and reintegration policies.

Keywords: Tajikistan, labour migration, remittances, development, vulnerability, labour market, household income.

Introduction

Labour migration has become a defining feature of Tajikistan's socio-economic development. Since the early years of independence, the country has faced a combination of structural challenges, including limited industrial diversification, relatively low wages, high demographic pressure, and insufficient employment opportunities in domestic labour markets. In this context, migration abroad has emerged not only as an individual employment strategy, but also as a central mechanism through which households maintain income, manage economic uncertainty, and improve living standards.

The significance of labour migration is particularly visible in the role of remittances. Tajikistan is among the most remittance-dependent economies in the world. According to the World Bank, remittance inflows reached approximately 49% of GDP in 2024, compared with 39% in 2023, making them a major driver of domestic demand and household consumption. This level of dependence indicates that labour migration is not a peripheral social issue, but a core component of the country's economic model.

The Russian Federation has traditionally been the main destination for Tajik labour migrants. This is explained by historical ties, linguistic familiarity, visa-free entry arrangements, established migration networks, and sustained demand for migrant labour in sectors such as construction, services, transport, and communal services. However, reliance on one dominant destination country also creates risks. Changes in Russian migration policy, economic

conditions, labour market demand, and social attitudes toward migrants can have direct consequences for Tajik workers and their families.

Recent developments have increased the urgency of this issue. The International Organization for Migration reported that the number of Tajik labour migrants declined by approximately 16%, from 978,000 in the first half of 2024 to 825,000 in the first half of 2025. This decline suggests that external migration channels may be becoming less stable. In addition, increased scrutiny of Central Asian migrants in Russia after the March 2024 Crocus City Hall attack has contributed to a more uncertain environment for Tajik workers, including reports of tighter controls, refusals of entry, and deportations.

This article therefore examines labour migration from Tajikistan as a dual phenomenon. On the one hand, it provides an important source of income, reduces poverty risks, and supports domestic consumption. On the other hand, it creates structural dependence on external labour markets and exposes the country to risks beyond its direct control. The aim of the article is to analyse the socio-economic role of labour migration in Tajikistan and to identify the main benefits, vulnerabilities, and policy implications associated with this development model.

Literature Review

The academic and policy literature on labour migration in Tajikistan generally emphasises the connection between migration, remittances, poverty reduction, and household welfare. Earlier studies highlighted that remittances helped many households smooth consumption, finance basic needs, and reduce vulnerability to income shocks. The International Labour Organization has also examined the potential of migrant remittances not only as a source of household consumption, but also as a possible basis for savings, financial inclusion, and small-scale investment. From this perspective, migration is often interpreted as an adaptive household strategy in response to weak domestic employment opportunities.

A second strand of literature focuses on the macroeconomic role of remittances. Studies on Tajikistan frequently note that remittances have become one of the country's most important sources of external finance, often exceeding other inflows such as foreign direct investment and official development assistance. Research by Murakami and colleagues, for example, argues that remittances contribute to GDP growth and poverty reduction, while also creating excessive dependence on the economies of remittance-source countries. This literature is important because it shows that remittances are not only private transfers between migrants and families, but also macroeconomic flows that influence consumption, imports, exchange rate dynamics, and national development patterns.

A third body of work examines the institutional and policy dimensions of labour migration. The Asian Development Bank has reviewed the state of international migration from Tajikistan and proposed measures to strengthen support for migrant workers, including better pre-departure information, skills training, legal assistance, and institutional coordination. This policy-oriented literature suggests that migration outcomes depend not only on labour demand in destination countries, but also on the quality of migration governance in the country of origin. In particular, access to reliable information, vocational preparation, rights protection, and reintegration services can influence whether migration becomes a productive development pathway or remains a risky survival strategy.

A fourth strand of literature focuses on the social consequences of migration. Research on Tajikistan has shown that migration affects family structures, gender roles, care responsibilities, and local labour markets. When male household members migrate for extended periods, women and older relatives often assume greater responsibility for household management, agricultural work, financial decisions, and childcare. At the same time, migration can alter aspirations

among younger generations, as overseas employment becomes normalised as a pathway to adulthood and economic independence. These social effects demonstrate that labour migration should not be analysed only through income and remittance indicators; it also reshapes social relations within households and communities.

Despite the breadth of existing literature, an important research gap remains. Much of the earlier research has focused either on the positive welfare effects of remittances or on general migration patterns. Less attention has been given to the changing vulnerability of Tajikistan's migration model under recent external shocks, including declining migrant numbers, increasing restrictions in destination countries, and the risks of overdependence on one main labour market. This article addresses this gap by examining labour migration not only as a household livelihood strategy, but also as a structural development issue that links domestic labour market limitations, remittance dependence, external policy risks, and long-term socio-economic resilience.

Methodology

This article is based on a desk-based analytical review of secondary sources. The study uses official statistics, reports from international organisations, and recent analytical publications to assess the role of labour migration in Tajikistan's socio-economic development. The main sources include the World Bank, the International Organization for Migration, the Asian Development Bank, the International Labour Organization, and relevant academic studies on migration and remittances.

The analysis focuses on five main dimensions. First, it examines the scale and dynamics of labour migration from Tajikistan. Second, it analyses the role of remittances in household welfare and macroeconomic stability. Third, it considers the implications of dependence on external labour markets, especially the Russian Federation. Fourth, it discusses the social consequences of migration for families and communities. Fifth, it identifies the main policy implications for reducing vulnerability and strengthening development outcomes.

The study does not use primary survey data, interviews, or fieldwork. Therefore, its conclusions are based on interpretation of existing evidence rather than direct empirical measurement. This creates certain limitations. For example, the article cannot fully capture informal migration experiences, undocumented employment, regional differences within Tajikistan, or the lived experiences of migrants and their families. Nevertheless, a desk-based review is appropriate for identifying broad structural patterns, policy risks, and development implications.

4. Results

4.1. Labour migration as a household livelihood strategy

The findings indicate that labour migration plays a central role in household income generation in Tajikistan. For many families, migration is a practical response to limited domestic employment opportunities and wage differentials between Tajikistan and destination countries. Income earned abroad is commonly used to finance basic consumption, food, housing, education, healthcare, debt repayment, and family ceremonies.

Remittances therefore act as a household-level stabilisation mechanism. They allow families to reduce immediate poverty risks and maintain consumption even when local employment opportunities are insufficient. This function is especially important in rural areas, where agricultural incomes may be seasonal and formal employment opportunities limited. In such contexts, migration becomes a way of diversifying household income sources.

However, the reliance on remittances also creates household vulnerability. Families that depend heavily on income from one migrant worker may face sudden financial stress if that worker loses employment, faces deportation, becomes ill, or is unable to send money. Therefore, while migration improves short-term resilience, it can also create new forms of dependence and insecurity.

4.2. Remittances as a macroeconomic stabiliser

At the macroeconomic level, remittances are a major pillar of Tajikistan's economy. The World Bank reported that remittance inflows reached approximately 49% of GDP in 2024, compared with 39% in 2023. This indicates that remittances are not simply supplementary household income; they are a systemic economic factor.

Remittances support domestic demand by increasing household purchasing power. They also help finance imports and contribute to foreign exchange inflows. In a country with a relatively narrow export base, these inflows can help reduce external imbalances and support macroeconomic stability. The World Bank also noted that Tajikistan's domestic demand in 2024 was supported by large remittance inflows and higher public sector wages.

Nevertheless, a high remittance-to-GDP ratio also signals structural dependence. If remittance inflows fall sharply, household consumption, imports, fiscal revenues, and overall economic growth may be affected. This makes the economy sensitive to changes in destination countries, especially Russia, where most Tajik labour migrants are employed.

4.3. Declining migration flows and external uncertainty

Recent data suggest that Tajikistan's migration model is facing increasing uncertainty. According to the International Organization for Migration, the number of Tajik labour migrants declined by around 16%, from 978,000 in the first half of 2024 to 825,000 in the first half of 2025. This decline is significant because it may affect future remittance inflows and household income security.

Several factors may explain this trend. These include stricter migration controls, changing labour demand in destination countries, administrative barriers, increased deportations, and a more difficult social environment for migrants. Reuters reported that Russia's stricter approach toward Tajik migrants after the Crocus City Hall attack increased economic and security concerns for both Tajikistan and Russia.

This development highlights the fragility of a migration model concentrated in one main destination country. When access to that labour market becomes more difficult, the consequences are not limited to migrants themselves. They also affect families, local communities, domestic consumption, and the national economy.

4.4. Social effects of labour migration

Labour migration has important social consequences. At the household level, remittances can improve living standards, support children's education, and enable investment in housing. However, long-term separation can create emotional, social, and family-related costs.

In many cases, migration changes the distribution of responsibilities within households. Women may take on greater roles in household management, agriculture, budgeting, and decision-making when male family members migrate. While this can increase women's practical authority within the household, it may also increase unpaid labour and emotional pressure.

Children in migrant households may benefit from better material conditions but may also experience the absence of one or both parents. Older relatives may also become more involved

in childcare and household management. These dynamics show that migration is not only an economic transaction; it reshapes family structures and social relations.

4.5. Reintegration challenges for returning migrants

The return of migrants creates another policy challenge. If returning migrants cannot find suitable employment in Tajikistan, they may experience unemployment, underemployment, or pressure to migrate again. Reintegration is particularly difficult when skills acquired abroad are not formally recognised or do not match domestic labour market demand.

Return migration can also create opportunities. Migrants may bring back savings, work experience, technical skills, language knowledge, and entrepreneurial aspirations. However, these potential benefits are not automatic. They require supportive institutions, access to finance, business advisory services, skills certification, and labour market matching.

Without such support, return migration may become a source of social pressure rather than development. Therefore, reintegration policy should be treated as an essential part of migration governance, not as a separate or secondary issue.

Discussion

The results show that labour migration from Tajikistan has a dual character. On the one hand, it supports households and contributes to macroeconomic stability through remittances. On the other hand, it creates structural dependence on external labour markets. This duality is central to understanding Tajikistan's development model.

From a development perspective, remittances can reduce poverty and support consumption, but they do not automatically generate productive transformation. If remittances are mainly used for immediate consumption, they may improve living standards without significantly expanding domestic employment or productivity. This does not mean that consumption is unimportant; for low-income households, consumption support is essential. However, long-term development requires mechanisms that can convert part of migration income into education, skills, entrepreneurship, and productive investment.

The concentration of Tajik migration in Russia is another major vulnerability. While Russia provides employment opportunities and has long-established migration networks, dependence on one destination country exposes Tajikistan to political, economic, and legal shocks. The tightening of migration controls or deterioration of social conditions for migrants can quickly affect remittance inflows and household welfare.

This suggests that Tajikistan needs a more diversified and proactive migration policy. Such a policy could include expanding legal labour migration agreements with other countries, improving pre-departure training, strengthening language and vocational skills, providing legal assistance to migrants abroad, and improving data systems for tracking migration flows.

At the same time, migration policy should be linked to domestic development strategy. Labour migration will remain important in the medium term, but it should not substitute for domestic job creation. Policies aimed at industrial development, agricultural modernisation, small business support, vocational education, and regional development are essential for reducing excessive dependence on external employment.

The role of return migrants also deserves greater policy attention. Returning migrants can contribute to development if their skills, savings, and experience are effectively mobilised. This requires reintegration programmes that go beyond basic administrative registration and include employment services, business development support, financial literacy, and recognition of skills gained abroad.

ISSN: 3030-332X Impact factor: 9,493 Volume 17, issue 1, Aprel 2026
https://wordlyknowledge.uz/index.php/IJSR worldly knowledge
Index: google scholar, research gate, research bib, zenodo, open aire.
https://scholar.google.com/scholar?hl=ru&as_sdt=0%2C5&q=wosjournals.com&btnG
https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Worldly-Knowledge
https://journalseeker.researchbib.com/view/issn/3030-332X

Conclusion

Labour migration is one of the most important socio-economic processes shaping contemporary Tajikistan. It provides income for households, supports domestic consumption, and contributes significantly to macroeconomic stability through remittances. However, this article has shown that the same migration-based model also creates structural vulnerabilities.

The high share of remittances in GDP demonstrates the importance of migration for Tajikistan's economy, but it also shows the scale of dependence on external labour markets. Recent declines in the number of Tajik labour migrants and growing uncertainty in destination countries suggest that this model cannot be considered fully stable. External policy changes, labour market shocks, and social tensions in receiving countries can have direct effects on Tajik households and the national economy.

The article concludes that labour migration should be understood not only as a livelihood strategy, but also as a development policy issue. Tajikistan needs a balanced approach that protects migrants, supports their families, diversifies migration destinations, strengthens reintegration mechanisms, and creates better employment opportunities at home. In the long term, the country's development resilience will depend not on eliminating migration, but on reducing excessive dependence on it and ensuring that migration contributes more effectively to productive and inclusive national development.

References

1. Asian Development Bank. (2020). *Strengthening Support for Labor Migration in Tajikistan*. Asian Development Bank.

2. International Labour Organization. (2010). *Migrant Remittances to Tajikistan: The Potential for Savings, Economic Investment and Existing Financial Products to Attract Remittances*. ILO.

3. International Organization for Migration. (2025). *Tajikistan Migration Situation Report #4: January–June 2025*. Displacement Tracking Matrix.

4. Murakami, E., Yamada, E., & Sioson, E. P. (2018). *The Impact of Migration and Remittances on Labor Supply in Tajikistan*. JICA Research Institute.

5. Reuters. (2024). *Russia's Clampdown on Tajik Migrants Raises Economic and Security Risks*. Published 17 December 2024.

6. World Bank. (2025). *Tajikistan Economic Update 2025*. World Bank.